

# STATE OF INDIANA



INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
302 W. WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE E-306  
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2764

<http://www.state.in.us/iurc/>  
Office: (317) 232-2701  
Facsimile: (317) 232-6758

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMMISSION'S )  
INVESTIGATION, UNDER IC 8-1-2-58 AND )  
59, INTO THE PROPOSED TERMINATION )  
OF THE OPERATING AGREEMENT )  
BETWEEN PSI ENERGY, INC. AND )  
CINCINNATI GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY )  
APPROVED BY THE COMMISSION )  
MARCH 29, 1994 )

RESPONDENT: PSI ENERGY, INC. )

**FILED**  
JUN 06 2005  
INDIANA UTILITY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION  
CAUSE NO. 41954

You are hereby notified that on this date, the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission has caused the following entry to be made:

On May 20, 2005, PSI Energy, Inc. ("PSI") filed a *Motion for Extension of Time* ("Motion") in the above captioned Cause. In its Motion, PSI requests an extension of time in which to file its prefiled rebuttal testimony and a continuance of the evidentiary hearing in this cause. PSI further states that counsel for the parties in this cause have been informed of PSI's request and PSI has not received any notice of objection.

The Presiding Officers having reviewed the information contained in the Motion, and being duly advised in the premises, hereby GRANT the Motion and revise the procedural schedule as follows:

1. **Petitioner's Rebuttal Prefiling.** The Petitioner should prefile with the Commission its prepared rebuttal testimony on or before July 8, 2005. Copies of same should be served upon all parties of record.

3. **Evidentiary Hearing on the Parties' Cases-In-Chief.** Due to the revised procedural scheduled in this cause, the evidentiary hearing previously scheduled for June 7, 2005, is now continued to July 14, 2005, commencing at 9:00 a.m. in Room E-306 of the Indiana Government Center South, Indianapolis, Indiana. At such time, the direct evidence of the respective parties should be presented and their respective witnesses examined. Thereafter, Petitioner should present its prefiled rebuttal evidence as well as any additional evidence rebutting evidence adduced on cross-examination of Public's or Intervenors' witnesses.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David E. Ziegner, Commissioner

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Abby R. Gray, Administrative Law Judge

Date: June 16, 2005